UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America V. Candido Alcala-Prado Defendant		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		Case No. 1:12-cr-00157-RHB	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R efendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – I	Findings of Fact	
(1)		d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in: .*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but i		
	the possession or use of a fireard a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .C. § 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defende	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.	
	Alternat	ive Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)		stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.	
		ive Findings (B)	
<u>√</u> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	•	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar		
		f the Reasons for Detention	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing	

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	June 26, 2012	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	